REMARKS

Claims 3-46 were previously presented in a Response to Office action dated July 6, 2000.

Claims 47-66 are added with the present amendment. No new matter has been presented.

The Examiner's prompt attention to this matter is greatly appreciated. Should further questions remain, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned attorney by telephone.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any underpayment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 06-1325 for any matter in connection with this response, including any fee for extension of time, which may be required.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: August 30, 2000

By: ____

Brian L Marcus

Reg. No. 34,511

FLIESLER, DUBB, MEYER & LOVEJOY LLP

Four Embarcadero Center, Suite 400 San Francisco, California 94111-4156

Telephone: (415) 362-3800

3

As shown in Figs. 3-7, the engaging surfaces between magnetic members 14 in primary spectacle frame 10 and the magnetic members 22 in the auxiliary spectacle frame 20 lie in a plane that is substantially horizontal when the eyeglass device is worn.

FDM&L

Referring next to FIGS 7 and 8, it is preferable that the projec-

tions 13 and the magnetic members 14 are located stightly lower than the upper pention of the primary speciacle frame 10; and the end portions of the arms 11 anc/or the magnetic members 22 are slightly extended downward toward the projections 13 such that the arms 21 and the magnetic members 22 may book on the primary speciacle frame 10 and such that the auxiliary speciacle frame 20 may further be stably supported and occurred to the primary speciacle frame 10.

In one embodiment, as shown in FIG. 7, magnetic members 14 and 22 are not in contact with each other; magnetic members 14 and 22 are engaged with, but not supported on, each other. Instead, the arm 21 securing the magnetic member 22 is supported on an upper side portion of the primary spectacle frame 10. As shown in FIG. 7 the upper side portion can be an upper part of the side portion securing the projection 13.